


CHAPTER 10. AMPHIBIANS OF THE PALAEARCTIC REALM

Figure 1. Summary of Red List categories for amphibians in the Palaeartic Realm. The percentage of species in each category is also given.



Pachyhynobius shangchengensis (*Vulnerable*) is a member of the Asian salamander Family Hynobiidae. It is known only from the Dabieshan area in central China, and it lives in slow-flowing hill streams in forested areas, where its larvae also develop. Over-harvesting for human consumption is a major threat to this species. © Arnaud Jamin

Table 1. The number of Palaeartic amphibians in each taxonomic Family present in the region.

Family	Native species (endemics to region)	Percentage of species in region that are endemic	Percentage of species in family that are endemic to region	Native genera (endemics to region)	Percentage of genera in region that are endemic	Percentage of genera in family that are endemic to region
Anura						
Bombinatoridae	7 (6)	86	60	1 (0)	0	0
Bufo	39 (19)	49	4	1 (0)	0	0
Discoglossidae	12 (12)	100	100	2 (2)	100	100
Hylidae	13 (6)	46	14	1 (0)	0	0
Megophryidae	72 (38)	53	30	9 (0)	0	0
Microhylidae	13 (2)	15	0.5	4 (0)	0	0
Pelobatidae	4 (4)	100	100	1 (1)	100	100
Pelodytidae	3 (3)	100	100	1 (1)	100	100
Ranidae	158 (71)	45	11	14 (0)	0	0
Rhacophoridae	39 (6)	15	2	7 (0)	0	0
TOTAL ANURA	360 (168)	47	3	41 (4)	10	1
Caudata						
Cryptobranchidae	2 (1)	50	33	1 (0)	0	0
Hynobiidae	43 (40)	93	87	7 (6)	86	86
Plethodontidae	8 (8)	100	2	2 (2)	100	7
Proteidae	1 (1)	100	17	1 (1)	100	50
Salamandridae	54 (43)	80	61	14 (9)	64	56
TOTAL CAUDATA	108 (93)	86	17	25 (18)	72	29
TOTAL ALL AMPHIBIANS	468 (260)	56	4	66 (22)	33	5

Brandon Anthony, J.W. Arntzen, Sherif Baha El Din, Wolfgang Böhme, Dan Cogălniceanu, Jelka Crnobrnja-Isailovic, Pierre-André Crochet, Claudia Corti, Richard Griffiths, Yoshio Kaneko, Sergei Kuzmin, Michael Wai Neng Lau, Pipeng Li, Petros Lymberakis, Rafael Marquez, Theodore Papenfuss, Juan Manuel Pleguezuelos, Nasrullah Rastegar, Benedikt Schmidt, Tahar Slimani, Max Sparreboom, Ismail Uğurtaş, Yehudah Werner, and Feng Xie

THE GEOGRAPHIC AND HUMAN CONTEXT

The Palaeartic Realm includes northern Africa, all of Europe, and much of Asia, excluding the southern extremities of the Arabian Peninsula, the Indian Subcontinent (south of the crest of the Himalaya), Southeast Asia, and the southern parts of China. It is by far the largest of the six biogeographic realms.

The Palaeartic Realm is dominated by the Eurasian tectonic plate, which comprises all of Europe and most of Asia. Over the past 60 million years, the African, Arabian and Indian plates have collided with and compressed the Eurasian plate, forming an extensive array of mountain ranges, generally running in an east-west direction. These ranges include: the Pyrenees; the Alps; the Carpathians; the Balkan mountains; the Caucasus; the mountains of Turkey, Iran, Afghanistan, Pakistan and central Asia; and the Himalaya. The northern part of the African Plate and most of the Arabian plate are now dominated by a Palaeartic fauna, whereas the fauna on the Indian Plate is overwhelmingly Indomalayan (as is the fauna on the south-eastern part of the Eurasian Plate). Although the Palaeartic has an extensive land connection with the Afrotropical Region, there is relatively little mixing of the faunas, due to the barrier to dispersal formed by the Sahara and Arabian Deserts, particularly for organisms such as amphibians. However, there is much more overlap with the Indomalayan fauna, and the boundary between the two regions is hard to delineate in China.

For the reasons given above, the southern part of the region is much more mountainous than the northern part, and includes the highest mountains in the world, peaking at Sagarmatha (Mount Everest) at 8,850m. All of the world's mountains higher than 8,000m occur in the Himalayan and Karakorum ranges.

Boreal coniferous forests dominate the northern part of the region, through Scandinavia and Russia. Further to the south, both the western and eastern parts of the region were originally covered in mixed and broad-leaved temperate forests, giving way to steppe lands in the central part of the region. However, very little remains of the original temperate forest habitat through most of the region. In keeping with the topography, habitat diversity is greater in the southern part of the region, ranging from deserts, to grassland, to Mediterranean-type scrubland, and to coniferous forests.

The Palaeartic Realm has a very uneven human population density (approximately 32 people per square kilometre in Europe in 2005, compared with 137 in China, 339 in Japan, but only 8 in Russia and 11 in Saudi Arabia). The percentage of the population living in rural areas also varies greatly, ranging from 11.5% in Saudi Arabia, to 26.7% in Europe and Russia, 34.3% in Japan, and 59.5% in China. In general, most of the people in the region are concentrated in eastern Asia and western Europe. The gross income per capita also varies hugely across the region, from US\$1,740 in China in 2005, to US\$4,460 in Russia, US\$11,770 in Saudi Arabia, US\$27,900 in western Europe, and US\$38,390 in Japan. Economic growth rates are very high in China (reaching 10% annually), and now averaging 6% in eastern Europe, Russia, and central Asia, but much lower (<3%) in western Europe and Japan.

The high economic growth rate in China, and high overall wealth in Europe, as well as high human population densities, explain why damage to natural ecosystems has been so extensive in the eastern and western parts of the region. In China, but in Europe and the Middle East to an even greater extent, humans modified extensive areas of natural habitat over 1,000 years ago. High levels of habitat modification are still ongoing in China and many other parts of the region. Conversely, in the central and northern parts of the region, many ecosystems are still largely intact.

GLOBAL CONSERVATION STATUS

A total of 113 species (24%) of amphibian in the Palaeartic Realm is considered to be globally threatened or Extinct (see Figure 1). This is significantly less than the global average of 33%¹. The

Palaeartic Realm contains 6% of all globally threatened amphibians. The Palaeartic accounts for only 3% of CR species and 5% of the EN species, but 9% of the VU species. Hence, on the basis of current knowledge, threatened Palaeartic amphibians are more likely to be in a lower category of threat, when compared with the global distribution of threatened species amongst categories. The percentage of DD species, 13% (62 species), is also much less than the global average of 23%, which is not surprising given that parts of the region have been well surveyed. Nevertheless, the percentage of DD species is much higher than in the Nearctic.

Two of the world's 34 documented amphibian extinctions have occurred in this region: the Hula Painted Frog *Discoglossus nigriventris* from Israel and the Yunnan Lake Newt *Cynops walterstorffi* from around Kunming Lake in Yunnan Province, China. In addition, one Critically Endangered species in the Palaeartic Realm is considered possibly extinct, *Scutigera maculatus* from central China. This represents 1% of the 130 possibly extinct species in the world. Clearly, as with the Nearctic, there is little in the way of overall discernible pattern so far in Palaeartic amphibian extinctions.

Despite a lower overall threat to the amphibian fauna in the Palaeartic compared with some other regions, many widespread Least Concern species are seriously threatened in many parts of their ranges. Many countries in Europe, report high levels of threat to their amphibian faunas. For example, Switzerland lists 70% of its species as nationally threatened (Schmidt and Zumbach 2005), even though only one of these species is globally threatened.

SPECIES RICHNESS AND ENDEMISM

Species Richness and Endemism Across Taxa

The 468 native amphibian species in the Palaeartic Realm represent 8% of the currently known global total of 5,915 species. Of these 468 species, 260 (or 56%) are endemic to the Palaeartic (Table 1). Salamanders, newts, frogs and toads are present in the region, but there are no caecilians. Anurans account for over three-quarters (77%) of the species. Endemism is much higher in the salamanders and newts (86%) compared with the frogs and toads (47%). This is presumably a reflection of the generally much smaller range sizes among salamanders, and that the families Salamandridae and Hynobiidae radiated mainly in the Palaeartic (see, for example, Weisrock *et al.* 2006). Of the 15 amphibian families that are native to the region, only three are endemic (Table 1). Only 19 species (4% of the species in the region) are members of these endemic families, although the salamander family Hynobiidae occurs only marginally in the Indomalayan Region and is predominantly Palaeartic.

Under current climatic conditions, there is essentially no isolation between the Palaeartic and Indomalayan Regions, especially in China, and the boundary between these two faunas is somewhat arbitrary. The effect of this indistinct boundary is to reduce the level of endemism of both regions. Summaries of the amphibian fauna of the Palaeartic are provided by Borkin (1999) and Zhao (1999).

There are 66 genera (14% of the global total) occurring in the region, of which 22 (33%) are also endemic. Endemism at the generic level is much higher among the salamanders and newts (72%) than it is among the frogs and toads (10%), a pattern mirrored in the Nearctic. The most speciose genera in the region are *Rana*² (83 species), *Bufo*² (39 species), *Paa* (24 species), *Hynobius* (24 species), *Xenophrys* (23 species) and *Amolops* (20 species). There are eight monotypic genera endemic to the Palaeartic Realm, all of which are salamanders. The 44 non-endemic genera in the Palaeartic include 37 frog genera (14 genera from the Ranidae, nine from the Megophryidae, seven from the Rhacophoridae, four from the Microhylidae, and one each from the Bombinatoridae, Bufonidae and Hylidae) and seven salamander genera (five from the Salamandridae, and one each from the Cryptobranchidae and the Hynobiidae).

As noted, 15 of the world's 48 amphibian families (31%) occur in the Palaeartic, of which three are endemic: Discoglossidae, Pelobatidae, and Pelodytidae. The characteristics of these families are provided in Chapter 1.

Among the non-endemic families, the majority of Palaeartic species are in the Bufonidae, Megophryidae, Ranidae, Rhacophoridae, Hynobiidae and Salamandridae. Of the Palaeartic Bufonidae, all 39 species are in the widespread genus *Bufo*². This family occurs through most of the region, and 20 species (51%) are shared with other regions (mainly Indomalayan, but also Afrotropical). All Palaeartic bufonids breed by larval development, and some of these occur in a wide variety of different habitats.

There are 72 species of Megophryidae in the Palaeartic in nine genera. This family is predominantly Indomalayan, but 30% of the species are endemic to the Palaeartic, occurring mainly in central China. They occur especially in mountainous regions, and the genus *Scutigera* occurs as high as 4,000m in eastern Tibet.

The Ranidae (true frogs) constitute the largest family in the Palaeartic, accounting for just over one-third of the total amphibian fauna of the region. Just over half of the species are in the genus *Rana*⁴. The family is found in almost all parts of the region, occurring in most habitats, and breeds by larval development (except the genus *Ingerana* (four species in the Palaeartic) which are believed to breed by direct development)⁵.

The Rhacophoridae (Asian treefrogs) is another predominantly Indomalayan family, with 39 species occurring in the Palaeartic, only six of which are endemic. All the Palaeartic species are in the east of the region (mainly in China). In this region, most of the species breed by larval development, some using foam nests, but a few in the genus *Philautus* are probably direct developers.

The Asian salamanders (Hynobiidae) are mainly a Palaeartic family centred on Japan and China, with a few species ranging further west as far as Iran, Afghanistan, ex-Soviet Central Asia and north of European Russia. There are 43 species (87% of the global total for the family) in the region, 40 of which are endemic (and see Essay 10.1).

The Salamandridae are more diverse in the Palaeartic than elsewhere, with 61% of the species occurring in the region. The family also occurs in the northern part of the Indomalayan region, and there are also six species in the Nearctic. They are widespread in the region, but are especially diverse in Europe and China. Most species lay eggs and have free-living aquatic larvae, but 12 species (in the genera *Salamandra* and *Lyciasalamandra*) are live-bearers that do not lay eggs. In some of these species, the young are nourished inside the mother from the embryos of their siblings that die before birth, a unique process in amphibians.

The Palaeartic is also important for three other smaller families. The fire-bellied toads (Bombinatoridae), 60% of which occur in the Palaeartic, are widespread in the region,

and also extend into Indomalaya. Two of the three species of Cryptobranchidae (giant salamanders) occur in the Palaeartic, one in China, the other in Japan. These are the largest amphibians in the world, and are associated with clear streams where they breed by larval development. Finally, one of the six species of Proteidae occurs in the Palaeartic (the other five being in the Nearctic). The Palaeartic species is the olm *Proteus anguinus* (VU) which occurs in underground streams in karstic landscapes, in north-eastern Italy, Slovenia, Croatia and a few locations in Bosnia-Herzegovina. It lays eggs, and the adults retain their larval form.

The remaining families include the Hylidae (treefrogs: a large family occurring mainly in the Americas, New Guinea and Australia, with just 13 species in the region, all in the genus *Hyla*), Microhylidae (narrow-mouthed frogs: globally widespread, with just 13 species in the region, none of which are endemic), and the Plethodontidae (lungless salamanders: a large mainly Nearctic and Neotropical family, with just eight species in the region, seven centred on Italy and one in Korea).

The threatened and extinct species in the Palaeartic show very distinct taxonomic patterns (Table 2). Salamanders, which account for less than one-quarter of the species in the region, constitute 45% of the threatened or extinct species, with high levels of threat in all families. Among the larger salamander families, 56% of the Hynobiidae are threatened, and over 40% of the Salamandridae. In both cases, habitat loss and the impact of excessive utilization are the most common threats. This tendency for salamanders to show very high levels of threat is found also in the Indomalayan, Nearctic and the Neotropical Realms. The high level of threat in the Hynobiidae is probably related to the small geographic ranges of many of the species, and their narrow ecological niches in mountainous regions.

Most of the threatened frog species occur in the larger families, Megophryidae and Ranidae (Table 2). The Megophryidae (Asian spadefoots) has a high percentage (one-third) of threatened species, largely due to habitat loss in China, and often very small geographic ranges. These species are usually dependent on clear mountain streams for breeding, a very threatened habitat. The threatened Palaeartic Ranidae (which account for half of the threatened frogs in the region) are overwhelmingly concentrated in China, where they are generally threatened both by over-harvesting for human food, and by habitat loss.

Remarkably, the toads (Bufonidae), which in most other regions show high levels of threat, have no threatened species at all in the Palaeartic. In this region, the family is dominated by widespread, generally adaptable species. There are also low levels of threat in the Rhacophoridae. There are no globally threatened Palaeartic species in the Hylidae and the Microhylidae. Among the small endemic families, threat levels are 25% in the Discoglossidae (painted frogs and midwife toads) and Pelobatidae (European spadefoots), but, at least on the basis of current knowledge, negligible in the Pelodytidae (parsley frogs). It is perhaps noteworthy that 31% of Palaeartic species in the primitive suborder Archaeobatrachia (Bombinatoridae, Discoglossidae, Megophryidae, Pelobatidae and Pelodytidae) are globally threatened, compared with an average of 17% for anurans as a whole in the Palaeartic.

The great majority (87%) of the threatened amphibians in the Palaeartic are in the Endangered and Vulnerable categories. Again, the generally low number of Critically Endangered species masks some important family-level differences, with 12% of the Palaeartic Hynobiidae, and 50% of the Palaeartic Cryptobranchidae falling into this category. Salamanders account for 69% of the Critically Endangered species in the region.

Geographic Patterns of Species Richness and Endemism

A map of overall species richness of amphibians in the Palaeartic Realm (Figure 2) shows that species richness is low through most of the region, especially in most of Russia and central Asia. In dry areas, such as in much of the Arabian Peninsula and on the Tibetan Plateau, and in very cold areas such as the northernmost belt of the region, there are no amphibians at all. Species richness is highest in China, and moderate in parts of Europe, Japan, and Korea. The high richness in China is partly a reflection of certain species of Indomalayan origin occurring there, as well as the absence of glaciations in much of central and southern China during the Pleistocene ice ages. The Palaeartic Realm is generally well studied, and Figure 2 probably reflects genuine overall patterns of amphibian species richness, though more species are still being discovered regularly, especially in the eastern parts of the region, and sometimes even in western Europe.

Threatened species (Figure 3a) in the Palaeartic are overwhelmingly concentrated in central China (especially in Sichuan and northern Yunnan). Elsewhere, there is little discernible pattern, but with some threatened species in Mediterranean Europe, north-west Africa, Asia Minor, central Asia, and Japan. Not surprisingly, given the small number of species involved, there are no noteworthy concentrations of Critically Endangered species in the region (Figure 3b) (much of the apparent concentration in China reflects the wide distribution of one species, the Chinese Giant Salamander *Andrias davidianus*, which is subject to over-exploitation as a food source).

The Oriental Fire-bellied Toad *Bombina orientalis* (Least Concern) is one of ten species in the Family Bombinatoridae. It is widespread in the Korean peninsula, north-eastern China, and parts of the Russian Far East. Although generally common, there is concern about the level of harvest for traditional Chinese medicine, and for the international pet trade. © Twan Leenders




Figure 2. The species richness of amphibians in the Palaeartic Realm, with darker colours corresponding to regions of higher richness. Colour scale based on 10 quantile classes; maximum richness equals 40 species.





Figure 3. a) The richness of threatened amphibians in the Palaeartic Realm, with darker colours corresponding to regions of higher richness. Colour scale based on five quantile classes; maximum richness equals 11 species. b) The richness of CR amphibians in the Palaeartic Realm. Maximum richness equals one species.

Species Richness and Endemism within Countries

Amphibians are native in 81 countries and territories in the Palaeartic Realm (Figure 4). China has by far the largest number of species and of endemics in the region (265 species, of which 137 are endemic). More than half (57%) of Palaeartic species occur in China, and 29% are endemic to this country (see Essay 10.2). India, although second on the list, extends only marginally into the Palaeartic, and consequently has no endemics in the region. Only four other countries (Japan, Italy, France and Spain) have more than 30 species; remarkably, the Russian Federation, despite its huge size, has only 28 species and no endemics, due to the severe continental climate over a large part of its territory. The low number of species and the low level of endemism in most countries are caused in part by low overall species richness, but also by the small size of many of the countries in the region. After China, only Japan and



The Alpine Newt *Triturus alpestris* (Least Concern), in the Family Salamandridae, is widely distributed in Europe, occurring in both alpine and lowland habitats including forests, meadows and pastureland. The species breeds, and the larvae develop, in stagnant waters, including shallow ponds, temporary pools, lakes, ditches, drinking troughs, ruts and sometimes slow-moving streams. © Henk Wallays

Table 2. The number of species within each IUCN Red List Category in each Family and Order in the Palaeartic Realm. Introduced species are not included.

Family	EX	CR	EN	VU	NT	LC	DD	Total number of species	Number Threatened or Extinct	% Threatened or Extinct
Anura										
Bombinatoridae	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	7	2	29
Bufonidae	0	0	0	0	3	31	5	39	0	0
Discoglossidae	1	0	0	2	4	5	0	12	3	25
Hylidae	0	0	0	0	0	12	1	13	0	0
Megophryidae	0	2	11	11	5	27	16	72	24	33
Microhylidae	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	13	0	0
Pelobatidae	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	4	1	25
Pelodytidae	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0
Ranidae	0	2	11	18	14	89	24	158	31	20
Rhacophoridae	0	0	0	1	5	23	10	39	1	3
TOTAL ANURA	1	4	23	34	32	210	56	360	62	17
Caudata										
Cryptobranchidae	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	50
Hynobiidae	0	5	8	11	2	11	6	43	24	56
Plethodontidae	0	0	1	2	4	1	0	8	3	38
Proteidae	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	100
Salamandridae	1	3	8	10	9	23	0	54	22	41
TOTAL CAUDATA	1	9	17	24	16	35	6	108	51	47
TOTAL ALL AMPHIBIANS	2	13	40	58	48	245	62	468	113	24




Figure 4

Figure 4. The number of amphibians present in and endemic to each Palearctic country. *denotes countries not entirely within the Palearctic Realm, hence only the species whose ranges fall within the region are included. Only countries with at least 10 native species are included.

Figure 5. Percentage of species endemic to each Palearctic country. *denotes countries not entirely within the Palearctic Realm, hence only the species whose ranges fall within the region are included. Only countries with at least one endemic species are included.

Figure 6. The number of globally threatened amphibians present in and endemic to each Palearctic country. Countries with no globally threatened species are not included in the diagram. *denotes countries not entirely within the Palearctic Realm, hence only the species whose ranges fall within the region are included.

Figure 7. Percentage of native species that are globally threatened. Countries with no globally threatened species are not included in the diagram. *denotes countries not entirely within the Palearctic Realm, hence only the species whose ranges fall within the region are included.




Figure 5

Italy have more than 10 endemic species (25 and 11, respectively). Thirty-six countries in the region have fewer than 10 native amphibian species (not shown on Figure 4), and only four of these (Afghanistan, Egypt, Sudan and Tajikistan) have endemics (one each).

The amphibian fauna of the Palearctic has been well summarized in extensive review literature. Important regional works include: Ananjeva and Borkin (1981), Arnold (2002, 2003), Balletto *et al.* (1985), Borkin (1984, 1999), Cog Inceanu *et al.* (2000), Corti *et al.* (1999), Duguet and Melki (2003), Gasc *et al.* (1997), Griffiths (1996), Grossenbacher and Thiesmeier (1999, 2003, 2004), Kuzmin (1996, 1999), Kuzmin and Semenov (2006), Leviton *et al.* (1992), Nöllert and Nöllert (1992), Pleguezuelos (1997), Salvador (1996), Schleich *et al.* (1996), Tarkhishvili and Gokhelasvili (1999), Thorn (1968), Thorn and Raffaelli (2001) and Zhao (1999). There are numerous national-level publications, including, but by no means limited to: Arnold (1995), Baran and Atatür (1998), Bauwens and Claus (1996), Bons and Geniez (1996), Cabela and Tiedemann (1985), Castanet and Guyétant (1989), Crespo and Oliveira (1989), Disi (2002), Fei *et al.* (1999, 2005), Garcia-Paris (1985), Garcia-Paris *et al.* (2004), Geniez *et al.* (2005), Goris and Maeda (2004), Groenvelde (1997), Grossenbacher (1988), Khan (2006), Kuzmin *et al.* (1998), Llorente *et al.* 1995, Maeda and Matsui (1999), Malkmus (2004), Moravec (1994), Parent (1979), Pleguezuelos *et al.* (2002), Puky *et al.* (2003), Saleh (1997), Salvador and Garcia-Paris (2001), Schleich and Kästle (2002), Soccianti (2002), Sindaco *et al.* (2006), Societas Herpetologica Italica (1996), Uchiyama *et al.* (2002), Werner (1988), Ye *et al.* (1993), Zhao and Adler (1993), and Zhao *et al.* (2000).

Although China has many more endemics than any other country in the region, Japan has the highest percentage of endemic species at almost 70% (Figure 5), because of the insular nature of the country. In the Palearctic part of China, over 50% of the amphibians are endemic. In addition to species-poor Tajikistan (already mentioned), high levels of endemism (>20%) also exist in Iran, Italy, and Turkey. In both Japan and China, the levels of endemism are high in frogs and salamanders. In Iran, Italy and Turkey, the endemics are mainly salamanders.

China has many more threatened species (64) than any other country in the Palearctic (Figure 6). Well over half of the threatened species in the region (57%) occur in China, and 50% are endemic to China. Endemic species in China are much more threatened (45%) than non-endemics (2%). The number of globally threatened species is less than 10 in all other countries in the region (Figure 6). The percentage of threatened amphibian species is highest in Turkey (35%), and is greater than 20% in Algeria, China, Greece, Japan, and Iran (Figure 7). With the exception of Turkey, in all Palearctic countries, the level of threat is much lower than the global average of 33%. The high percentage of threatened species in Turkey is a reflection of the presence of nine species of salamanders with small ranges, all threatened by habitat loss.

These figures all relate to the number of globally threatened amphibian species in each country. Many countries, especially in Europe, list many globally Least Concern species as nationally threatened. Assessments of the conservation status of Palearctic amphibians have been carried out in several countries, including, for example: Switzerland (Grossen-




Figure 6




Figure 7

bacher 1994; Schmidt and Zumbach 2005); Japan (Japan Agency of Environment 2000; Ota 2000); Spain (Pleguezuelos *et al.* 2002); and China (Zhao 1998; Xie and Wang, 2004). Corbett (1989) published an overview of amphibian conservation in Europe, while Cox *et al.* (2006) presented a review of the status and distributions in the Mediterranean (and see Essay 10.3). Xie *et al.* (2007) proposed a conservation plan for the amphibians of China.

There are only 13 Critically Endangered Palearctic species, but seven of these occur in China, two each in Iran and Japan, and one each in Afghanistan and Turkey. Outside China, all Critically Endangered species in the Palearctic are salamanders.

HABITAT AND ECOLOGY

Habitat Preferences

Most Palearctic amphibians (78%) occur in forests, but almost one-third of the fauna (31%) can survive in secondary terrestrial habitats (Table 3; Figure 8). This latter figure is almost double that of the Nearctic Realm, perhaps suggesting a higher percentage of adaptable species in the Palearctic. As natural habitats have been completely lost in many parts of the Palearctic, some amphibian species are now dependent of artificial habitats. As in other regions, forest-dwelling and stream-associated amphibians are more likely to be threatened than those occurring in any other habitats, with over 20% of these species being globally threatened. This is the combination of habitat preferences that has been associated with rapid declines in amphibian populations worldwide (Stuart *et al.* 2004). Amphibians occurring in savannahs, arid and semi-arid habitats, and secondary terrestrial habitats are less likely to be threatened than those occurring in other habitats (Table 3; Figure 8). At least one highly threatened species, *Ranodon sibiricus* (EN), is mainly associated with forests, but is surviving at much reduced densities in secondary habitats due to the loss of forest cover (Kuzmin and Thiesmeier 2001).


Reproductive Modes

Larval development is by far the most common reproductive mode in the Palearctic (94% of species), compared with 3% for direct development and 3% live-bearing (Table 4; this compares with the global picture of 68% larval development, 30% direct development, and 1% live-bearing). The Palearctic has a smaller proportion of direct-developing species than in any other region of the world. The direct-developing Palearctic amphibians include plethodontid salamanders in the genera *Speleomantes* and *Karsenia*, and frogs in the genera *Philautus* and perhaps *Ingerana*. The live-bearing species are all salamandrid salamanders in the genera *Salamandra* and *Lyciasalamandra*. All of the live-bearing salamanders of the world are found only in the Palearctic Realm.

Table 3. The habitat preferences of amphibians in the Palearctic Realm.

Figure 8. The habitat preferences of Palearctic amphibians. The plot on the left-hand side shows the number of species in the region in each habitat type. On the right-hand side, the percentage of these species which are threatened is given.

Habitat type	Number of species in each habitat	% of all species occurring in the habitat	Globally Threatened and Extinct species	% of all species in habitat that are globally Threatened or Extinct
Forest	365	78	81	22
Savannah	16	3	0	0
Grassland	114	24	17	15
Shrubland	150	32	23	15
Secondary terrestrial habitats	143	31	15	10
Flowing freshwater	346	74	87	25
Marsh/swamp	127	27	17	13
Still open freshwater	254	54	36	14
Arid and semi-arid habitats	26	6	0	0





The Marsh Frog *Rana ridibunda* (Least Concern) occurs widely from western Europe to western China, with invasive populations spreading in several places in the Palaeartic outside its natural range. It is a highly opportunistic semi-aquatic ranid frog, living in most habitats, especially in open, well-warmed areas with abundant herbaceous vegetation. It may also be found in slightly saline water. © Miroslav Samardžić

Reproductive mode	All Species	Threatened or Extinct species	% Threatened or Extinct
Direct development	14	3	21
Larval development	439	101	23
Live-bearing	13	9	69
Not known	2	0	0

Table 4. Palaeartic amphibians categorized by reproductive mode.

Threat type	Threatened species	% Threatened species
All habitat loss	90	81
Agriculture – Crops	41	37
Agriculture – Tree plantations	4	4
Agriculture – Livestock	16	14
Timber and other vegetation removal	48	43
Urbanization and industrial development	59	53
Invasive species	9	8
Utilization	41	37
Accidental mortality	5	4
Pollution	41	37
Natural disasters	11	10
Disease	2	2
Human disturbance	11	10
Fire	5	4

Table 5. The major threats to globally threatened amphibians in the Palaeartic Realm. Only present threats to species are tallied.




Figure 9. The major threats impacting threatened amphibians in the Palaeartic Realm.

In the Palaeartic, the percentage of globally threatened or Extinct live-bearing amphibians is much higher than in direct-developing and larval-developing species (Table 4), though, in view of the small number of species involved, the significance of this finding is not clear.

MAJOR THREATS

Habitat loss is overwhelmingly the major threat to amphibians in the Palaeartic (Table 5; Figure 9), affecting over 80% of the threatened species. Pollution and utilization are the next most serious threats, each impacting over one-third of the threatened species. Invasive species, disease, human disturbance and natural disasters also have significant impacts on certain species. Most other threats are of relatively minor importance. Chytridiomycosis has been recorded in many parts of Europe, with records from seven countries and 20 out of 28 species examined (Garner *et al.* 2005, 2006). It has been associated with some serious declines in Spain, but so far only of non-threatened species (Bosch *et al.* 2001). However, it does not seem to kill amphibians in most areas where it has been recorded in Europe, and so its overall impact currently seems to be less severe than in some other regions.

In terms of the types of habitat loss that are impacting amphibians in the Palaeartic, the impacts of urbanization and industrial development (affecting over half of the threatened species), vegetation removal (mainly logging in Asia (>40%) and expanding croplands and agricultural intensification (over one-third) are the most severe. Tree plantations and livestock are less important threats in most cases. The heavy impact of urbanization and industrialization reflects the high human population density in China, Japan, and Europe in the areas where amphibian species richness is highest. These factors, as well as the extensive development of agriculture, are also important threats in some parts of the former Soviet Union. The coding of major threats used in the Global Amphibian Assessment does not sufficiently depict habitat loss resulting from the over-exploitation of water resources, which is increasingly affecting amphibians in the south-western part of the Palaeartic (especially in the Iberian Peninsula, Greece and northern Africa), resulting in the widespread loss of breeding sites.



The Green Toad *Bufo viridis* (Least Concern) from the Family Bufonidae has an extremely wide range in North Africa, Europe, and West and Central Asia. It is one of the most adaptable amphibians of the Palaeartic, living in forests, steppes, dry grasslands, alpine areas, arid habitats (usually close to oases), and urban areas. © Maik Dobiey

The Common Spadefoot *Pelobates fuscus* (Least Concern), one of four species in the Family Pelobatidae, ranges from western Europe to central Asia. It occurs mostly in open areas, and burrows in loose soil when not breeding. It breeds in small still water bodies, including ditches, ponds and lakes. © Miroslav Samardžić



Table 6. The purposes for which amphibians are used in the Palaearctic Realm. The numbers in brackets are the number of species within the total that are threatened species.

Purpose	Subsistence	Sub-national/ National	Regional/ International	Number of species
Food - human	83 (23)	33 (9)	10 (1)	88 (24)
Food - animal	3 (0)	0	0	3 (0)
Medicine - human and veterinary	42 (10)	24 (4)	7 (0)	45 (10)
Pets, display animals	7 (2)	39 (9)	47 (9)	54 (15)
Research	1 (1)	8 (1)	3 (0)	8 (1)
Specimen collecting	1 (1)	7 (7)	8 (8)	9 (9)

Table 7. The population trends for all extant Palaearctic amphibians.

Population Trend	Number of species	% of extant species
Decreasing	246	53
Stable	126	27
Increasing	5	1
Unknown	89	19

Table 8. The number of species in "rapid decline" and "over exploited decline" in the Palaearctic Realm by Family.

Family	Number of species in "rapid decline"	Percentage of species in family in "rapid decline"	Number of species in "over-exploited decline"	Percentage of species in family in "over-exploited decline"
Bufo	1	3	0	0
Discoglossidae	3	25	0	0
Megophryidae	2	3	0	0
Pelobatidae	2	50	0	0
Ranidae	20	13	12	8
Cryptobranchidae	1	50	1	50
Hynobiidae	2	5	1	2
Plethodontidae	1	13	0	0
Salamandridae	10	19	4	7

A total of 153 species (50 of which are threatened) are recorded as being used in the region (Table 6). The most common reasons for harvesting Palaearctic amphibians are for food (88 species, mostly at local and national levels), pet trade (54 species, mostly at national and international levels), and medicinal use (45 species, mostly at local and national levels). Not all of the harvesting of amphibians in the region is considered to constitute a major threat to the species. Of the 153 species being harvested, utilization is considered to be a threat for 96 (of which 46 are threatened species for which harvesting is believed to be contributing to a deterioration in their status). Over 60% of these species seriously threatened by over-harvesting occur in China, where many species of amphibians are extensively harvested for human food and medicines. Examples include the Chinese Giant Salamander (which has undergone catastrophic declines), 12 species of ranid frogs (eight in the genus *Paa*), and six species of hynobiid salamanders. Threatened species outside China that are harvested include six species of hynobiid salamanders (in the genus *Hynobius*) in Japan (mainly pet trade), nine species of salamandrid salamanders (in the genera *Lyciasalamandra* and *Neurergus*) in Iran and Turkey (pet trade), and the hynobiid *Ranodon sibiricus* in Kazakhstan (mainly medicinal use). A much higher percentage of Palaearctic threatened species is negatively impacted by utilization (37%) than in any other region (Indomalaya is the next highest at 8%). Harvesting of non-threatened amphibians in Russia as food and medicine exports to China (mainly *Rana dybowskii*, but also *R. amurensis*, *R. asiatica*, *R. nigromaculata*, *Bufo gargarizans*, *Hyla japonica* and *Bombina orientalis*) has resulted in significant population declines at regional levels in eastern Russia (Maslova and Lyapustin 2005).

POPULATION STATUS AND TRENDS

Estimates of Population Trends

A summary of the inferred population trends of Palaearctic amphibians is presented in Table 7. For the majority of the species, these trends are inferred from trends in the state of the habitats on which the species depend (though in some cases, actual population declines have been noted, especially for species that are being over-harvested). The overall trends of Palaearctic amphibians reflect a worse situation than the global trend (where 42% are decreasing and only 27% are stable). In both cases, the percentage of increasing species is very small. These results suggest that, although the Palaearctic currently has a lower percentage of threatened species than the global average, the situation is probably dete-

riorating, given the high percentage of decreasing species. One reason for the large number of decreasing species is that the majority of the region's amphibians occur in China, where habitat loss and over-harvesting are both serious threats. Many species in Europe are also in decline, especially due to agricultural intensification.

"Rapidly Declining" Species

Only 42 (9%) of the 470 globally "rapidly declining" species occur within the Palaearctic Realm (a full list of all "rapidly declining" species is provided in Appendix IV and includes their occurrence within each of the realms). Eighteen of these species are in decline due to over-exploitation, 23 due to reduced habitat, and one due to so-called "enigmatic declines". Not surprisingly for this region, more declines are attributed to reduced habitat and over-exploitation than to enigmatic declines. The Palaearctic accounts for 47% of the world's rapid declines due to over-exploitation, but only 11% of the reduced habitat declines, and 0.4% of the enigmatic declines. One species in the region, *Nanorana pleskei* (NT) from Qinghai, Gansu and Sichuan Provinces in China, has been recorded as undergoing an enigmatic decline, the causes of this decline are not known, and have not so far been linked to either chytridiomycosis or climate change (although these two threats have now been associated with many such declines that have taken place elsewhere in the world (Lips *et al.* 2006; Pounds *et al.* 2006)).

The "rapidly declining" species show a distinct taxonomic pattern (Table 8). Among the larger families, the Ranidae and Salamandridae show a higher tendency to serious decline than the Bufonidae, Megophryidae and Hynobiidae. There are no Palaearctic species in rapid decline in the two treefrog families, Hylidae and Rhacophoridae, nor in the Microhylidae. Some small families have high percentages of species in serious decline, most notably the Discoglossidae and Pelobatidae (both families with large tadpoles) and Cryptobranchidae. Among the larger families, "over-exploited declines" are concentrated in the Ranidae and the Salamandridae.

The "rapid declines" in the Palaearctic also show a clear geographic pattern. The major concentration of declines is in China (23 species), followed by Iberia (8), north-western Africa (three), and Iran (two). Of the "over-exploited declines", 16 are in China, and two in Iran (*Neurergus newts* in the pet trade). The rapid declines in Iberia and north-western Africa have been linked to loss of habitat due to a long-term drying trend in the climate (see Pleguezuelos *et al.* 2002), linked with the over-exploitation of water resources, which results in the widespread loss of breeding sites.

KEY FINDINGS

- A total of 468 species are recorded from the Palaearctic Realm, of which 113 (24%) are considered globally threatened or Extinct.
- At the species level, 260 amphibians (56% of those present) are endemic to the Palaearctic; of the 15 families found in the region, three are endemic, and of 66 amphibian genera occurring, 22 are endemic. Endemism is lower in the Palaearctic than some other regions, especially due to the unclear and somewhat arbitrary boundary with the Indomalayan Region, especially in China.
- The percentage of threatened or Extinct species is lower than in many other parts of the world, but highest in the families Proteidae (100%), Hynobiidae (56%), Cryptobranchidae (50%), Salamandridae (41%), Plethodontidae (38%), Megophryidae (33%), Bombinatoridae (29%), Discoglossidae (25%) and Pelobatidae (25%).
- Overall, the threat levels are much higher among salamanders and newts (47%) than frogs and toads (17%).
- Geographic concentrations of threatened species in the Palaearctic are overwhelmingly centred on China; elsewhere there is little discernible pattern, though there are small concentrations in Mediterranean Europe, north-west Africa, Asia Minor, Central Asia and Japan.
- China has the largest number of species in the Palaearctic Realm (265 species), and also has more endemics (137). Another five countries (India, Japan, Italy, France, and Spain) have more than 30 species, but only two of these (Japan and Italy) have more than 10 endemics.
- China also has by far the largest number of threatened species (64), all other countries having fewer than 10 threatened species. However, the percentage of threatened species is higher in Turkey (35% cf. 24% in China).
- Threatened species tend to show distinct habitat preferences, with forest-dwelling and stream-associated species being the most frequently threatened (22% and 25%, respectively). This mirrors patterns seen elsewhere in the world.
- Habitat loss, primarily due to the impacts of urbanization and industrial development, vegetation removal (mainly logging), expanding croplands and agricultural intensification, is affecting over 80% of the threatened species in the region. Over-harvesting and pollution each impact over one-third of the threatened species. Disease has not been recorded as a significant threat in the region so far, although chytridiomycosis is spreading in Europe.
- The overall trends of Palaearctic amphibians are worse than the global situation, with 53% of the species in decline.
- Of the 470 globally "rapidly declining" species, 9% occur within the region. Most of these rapid declines (55%) are caused by severe habitat loss, and 43% are due to over-exploitation. Over-exploitation is a much more serious threat, especially in China, than in any other part of the world.
- Two amphibian extinctions have been recorded from the Palaearctic, one in China, the other in Israel. A third species (from China) is possibly extinct.

REFERENCES

- Ananjeva, N.B. and Borin, L.J. 1981. The fauna and ecology of amphibians and reptiles of the Palaearctic Asia. *Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Proceedings of the Zoological Institute* **101**:1-126.
- Arnold, H.R. 1995. Atlas of Amphibians and Reptiles in Britain. *Institute of Terrestrial Ecology Research Publication* **10**:1-80.
- Arnold, E.N. 2002. *Field Guide to the Reptiles and Amphibians of Britain and Europe*. Collins, London, UK.
- Arnold, E.N. 2003. *Reptiles and Amphibians of Europe*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA.
- Balletto, E., Cherchi, M.A. and Gasperetti, J. 1985. Amphibians of the Arabian Peninsula. *Fauna of Saudi Arabia* **7**:318-392.
- Baran, I. and Atatür, M.K. 1998. *Turkish herpetofauna (Amphibians and Reptiles)*. Republic of Turkey Ministry of Environment, Ankara, Turkey.
- Bauwens, D. and Claus, K. 1996. *Verspreiding van Amfibieën en Reptielen in Vlaanderen*. Die Wielewaal, Turnhout, Belgium.

- Bons, J. and Geniez, P. 1996. *Amphibiens et Reptiles du Maroc (Sahara Occidental compris): Atlas Biogéographique*. Asociación Herpetológica Española, Barcelona, Spain.
- Borkin, L.J. 1984. Ecology and faunistics of amphibians and reptiles of the USSR and adjacent countries. *Academy of Sciences of the USSR. Proceedings of the Zoological Institute* **124**:1-150.
- Borkin, L. 1999. Distribution patterns of amphibians in North Africa, Europe, Western Asia, and the Former Soviet Union. In: W.E. Duellman (ed.), *Patterns of Distribution of Amphibians: A Global Perspective*, pp. 329-420, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.
- Bosch, J., Martínez-Solano, I. and García-París, M. 2001. Evidence of a chytrid fungus infection involved in the decline of the common midwife toad (*Alytes obstetricans*) in protected areas of central Spain. *Biological Conservation* **97**:331-337.
- Cabela, A. and F. Tiedemann, F. 1985. *Atlas der Amphibien und Reptilien Österreichs*. Verlag Ferdinand Berger & Söhne, Vienna, Austria.
- Castanet, J. and Guyétant, R. 1989. *Atlas de Répartition des Amphibiens et Reptiles de France*. Société Herpétologique de France, Paris, France.
- Cog Iniceanu, D., Aioanei, F. and Bogdan, M. 2000. *Amfibienii din România Determinator*. Editura Ars Docendi, Bucharest, Hungary.
- Corbett, K. (ed.) 1989. *Conservation of European Reptiles and Amphibians*. Christopher Helm, London, UK.
- Corti, C., Masseti, M., Delfino, M. and Pérez-Mellado, V. 1999. Man and herpetofauna of the Mediterranean island. *Revista Española de Herpetología* **13**:83-100.
- Cox, N., Chanson, J. and Stuart, S. (Compilers) 2006. *The Status and Distribution of Reptiles and Amphibians of the Mediterranean Basin*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. v + 42 pp.
- Crespo, E.G., and Oliveira, M.E. 1989. *Atlas da Distribuição dos Anfíbios e Répteis de Portugal Continental*. Serviço Nacional de Parques, Reservas e Conservação da Natureza, Lisboa, Portugal.
- Disi, A.M. 2002. *Jordan Country Study on Biological Diversity: The Herpetofauna of Jordan*. The General Corporation for the Environmental Protection, Amman, Jordan.
- Duguet, R. and Melki, F. (eds). 2003. *Les Amphibiens de France, Belgique et Luxembourg*. Collection Parthénope, Editions Biotope, Mèze, France.
- Fei, L., Ye, C.-Y., Huang, Y.-A. and Liu, M.-Y. 1999. *Atlas of Amphibians of China*. Henan Science and Technical Press, Zhengzhou, China.
- Fei L., Ye, C.-Y., Huang, Y.-A. and Xie, F. 2005. *An illustrated Key to Chinese Amphibians*. Sichuan Publishing Group - Sichuan Publishing House of Science and Technology, Chengdu, China.
- Frost, D.R., Grant, T., Faivovich, J.N., Bain, R.H., Haas, A., Haddad, C.F.B., de Sá, R.O., Channing, A., Wilkinson, M., Donnellan, S.C., Raxworthy, C.J., Campbell, J.A., Blotto, B.L., Moler, P., Drewes, R.C., Nussbaum, R.A., Lynch, J.D., Green, D.M. and Wheeler, W.C. 2006. The amphibian tree of life. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* **297**:1-370.
- García-París, M. 1985. *Los Anfíbios de España*. Ministerio de Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación, Madrid, Spain.
- García-París, M., Montori, A. and Herrero, P. 2004. Amphibia, Lissamphibia. In: M.A. Ramos *et al.* (eds.). *Fauna Iberica* vol. 24, pp. 1-639. MNCN, CSIC, Madrid, Spain.
- Garner, T.W.J., Perkins, M.W., Govindarajulu, P., Seglie, D., Walker, S., Cunningham, A.A. and Fisher, M.C. 2006. The emerging amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* globally infects introduced populations of the North American bullfrog, *Rana catesbeiana*. *Biology Letters* **2**:455-459.
- Garner, T.W.J., Walker, S., Bosch, J., Hyatt, A.D., Cunningham, A.A. and Fisher, M.C. 2005. Chytrid fungus in Europe. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **11**:1639-1641.
- Gasc, J.-P., Cabela, A., Crobrija-Isailovic, J., Dolmen, D., Grossebacher, K., Haffner, P., Lescure, J., Martens, H., Martínez Rica, J.P., Maurin, H., Oliveira, M.E., Sofianidou, T.S., Veith, M., Zuiderdijk, A. 1997. *Atlas of Amphibians and Reptiles in Europe*. Societas Europea Herpetologica and Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France.
- Geniez, P., Mateo, J.A., Geniez, M. and Pether, J. 2004. *The Amphibians and Reptiles of the Western Sahara*. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
- Goris, R.C. and Maeda, N. 2004. *Guide to the Amphibians and Reptiles of Japan*. Krieger, Malabar, Florida, USA.
- Griffiths, R.A. 1996. *Newts and Salamanders of Europe*. Poyser Natural History, London, UK.
- Groenewald, A. 1997. *Handleiding voor het Monitoren van Amfibieën in Nederland*. Stichting Reptielen Amfibieën Vissen Onderzoek Nederland, Werkgroep Monitoring Central Bureau voor de Statistiek, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Grossebacher, K. 1988. Atlas de distribution des amphibiens de Suisse. *Documenta faunistica helvetiae* **7**:1-207.
- Grossebacher, K. 1994. *Rote Liste der gefährdeten Amphibien der Schweiz. Rote Liste der gefährdeten Tierarten in der Schweiz*. Pp. 33-34. BUWAL (Bundesamt für Umwelt, Wald und Landschaft), Bern, Germany.
- Grossebacher, K. and Thiesmeier, B. (eds.) 1999. *Handbuch der Reptilien und Amphibien Europas, Volume 4: Schwanzlurche (Urodela) I*. Aula-Verlag, Wiesbaden, Germany.
- Grossebacher, K. and Thiesmeier, B. (eds.) 2003. *Handbuch der Reptilien und Amphibien Europas, Volume 4: Schwanzlurche (Urodela) IIa*. Aula-Verlag, Wiesbaden, Germany.
- Grossebacher, K. and Thiesmeier, B. (eds.) 2004. *Handbuch der Reptilien und Amphibien Europas, Volume 4: Schwanzlurche (Urodela) IIb*. Aula-Verlag, Wiesbaden, Germany.
- Japan Agency of Environment. 2000. *Threatened Wildlife of Japan - Red Data Book. 2nd ed. Reptilia/Amphibia* (in Japanese with English summary). Japan Wildlife Research Center, Tokyo, Japan.
- Khan, M.S. 2006. *Amphibians and Reptiles of Pakistan*. Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar, Florida, USA.
- Kuzmin, S.L. 1996. Threatened amphibians in the former Soviet Union: the current situation and the main threats. *Oryx* **30**:24-30.
- Kuzmin, S.L. 1999. *The Amphibians of the Former Soviet Union*. Pensoft, Sofia and Moscow, Russia.
- Kuzmin, S.L., Borkin, L.J., Vorobyeva, E.I., Darevsky, I.S., Munkhbayar, Kh. and Semenov, D.V. 1998. *Amphibians and Reptiles of Mongolian People's Republic: General Problems*. Amphibians. Nauka, Moscow, Russia.
- Kuzmin, S.L. and Thiesmeier, B. 2001. *Mountain Salamanders of the Genus Ranodon*. Advances in Amphibian Research in the Former Soviet Union, volume 6. Pensoft Publishers, Sofia-Moscow.
- Kuzmin, S.L. and Semenov, D.V. 2006. *Conspect of the fauna of amphibians and reptiles of Russia*. KMK, Moscow, Russia.
- Leviton, A.E., Anderson, S.C., Adler, K. and Minton, S.A. 1992. *Handbook to Middle East Amphibians and Reptiles*. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Lawrence, Kansas, USA.
- Lips, K.R., Brem, F., Brenes, R., Reeve, J.D., Alford, R.A., Voyles, J., Carey, C., Livo, L., Pessier, A.P. and Collins, J.P. 2006. Emerging infectious disease and the loss of biodiversity in a Neotropical amphibian community. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **103**:3165-3170.
- Llorente, G. A., Montori, A., Santos, X. and Carretero, M. A. 1995. *Atlas dels Amfibis i Rèptils de Catalunya i Andorra*. Edicions El Brau, Figueres, Spain.
- Maeda, N. and Matsui, M. 1999. *Frogs and Toads of Japan*. Bun-ichi Sogo, Shuppan, Japan.
- Malkmus, R. 2004. *The Amphibians and Reptiles of Portugal, Madeira and the Azores-Archipelago*. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag K.G. Ruggel, Germany.
- Maslova, L.V. and Lyapustin, S.N. 2005. Illegal export of amphibians and reptiles from the Russian Far East to countries of the Asian region: the situation in 2003. In: N.B. Anayeva and O. Tsinenko (eds.), *Herpetologia Petropolitana*, pp. 191-192, Societas Europaea Herpetologica, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- Moravec, J. (ed.) 1994. *Atlas Rožní eni Oboživniku v eské Republice*. Národní Museum, Prague, Czech Republic.
- Nöllert, A. and Nöllert, C. 1992. *Die Amphibien Europas*. Franckh-Kosmos Verlags-GmbH & Co., Stuttgart, Germany.



The Parsley Frog Pelodytes punctatus (Least Concern) occurs mainly in France, Spain and Portugal. It is one of just three species in the Family Pelodytidae. It lives in stony and sandy habitats, often in calcareous areas, and can occur in cultivated land. It breeds in shallow, sunny, open waters, including small pools, ditches and slow, small streams. © Jelger Herder

- Ota, H. 2000. Current status of the threatened amphibians and reptiles of Japan. *Population Ecology* **42**:5-9.
- Parent, G.H. 1979. *Atlas Commenté de l'Herpétofaune de la Belgique et du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg*. Les Naturalistes Belges, Bruxelles, Belgium.
- Pleguezuelos, J.M. 1997. *Distribucion y Biogeografía de los Anfíbios y Reptiles en España y Portugal*. Asociación Herpetológica Española. Las Palmas de Gran Canarias.
- Pleguezuelos, J.M., Márquez, R. and Lizana, M. 2002. *Atlas y Libro Rojo de los Anfíbios y Reptiles de España*. Dirección General de la Conservación de la Naturaleza -Asociación Herpetológica Española, Madrid, Spain.
- Pounds, J.A., Bustamante, M.R., Coloma, L.A., Consuegra, J.A., Fogden, M.P.L., Foster, P.N., La Marca, E., Masters, K.L., Merino-Viteri, A., Puschendorf, R., Ron, S.R., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G.A., Still, C.J. and Young, B.E. 2006. Widespread amphibian extinctions from epidemic disease driven by global warming. *Nature* **439**:161-167.
- Puky, M., Schád, P., Szővényi, G., Fodor, A., Sallai, Z. and Révész, A. 2003. *Preliminary Herpetological Atlas of Hungary*. Varangy Akciócsoport Egyesület, IUCN SSC DAPTF Hungary, Budapest.
- Saleh, M.A. 1997. *Amphibians and Reptiles of Egypt*. Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency, Cairo, Egypt.
- Salvador, A. 1996. Amphibians of northwest Africa. *Smithsonian Herpetological Information Service* **109**:1-43.
- Salvador, A. and García-París, M. 2001. *Anfibios Españoles*. Ed. Canseco. Talavera de la Reina, Spain.
- Schleich, H.H. and Kästle, W. (eds). 2002. *Amphibians and Reptiles of Nepal*. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag K.G., Ruggel, Germany.
- Schleich, H.H., Kästle, W. and Kabisch, K. 1996. *Amphibians and Reptiles of North Africa*. Koeltz Scientific Books, Koenigsstein.
- Schmidt, B.R. and Zumbach, S. 2005. *Rote Liste der Gefährdeten Amphibien der Schweiz*. BUWAL-Reihe Vollzug Umwelt, 48 S.
- Soccianti, C. 2002. *Amphibia: Aspetti di Ecologia della Conservazione*. Guido Persichino Grafica, Firenze, Italy.
- Sindaco, R., Doria, G., Razzetti, E. and Bernini, F. (eds.) 2006. *Atlas of Italian Amphibians and Reptiles / Atlante Degli Anfibi E Dei Rettili D'Italia*. Polistampa.
- Societas Herpetologica Italica 1996. *Atlante provvisorio degli Anfibi e Rettili Italiani. Estratto dagli Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale "G. Doria", Genova* 91:95-178.
- Stuart, S.N., Chanson, J.S., Cox, N.A., Young, B.E., Rodrigues, A.S.L., Fischman, D.L. and Waller, R.W. 2004. Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. *Science* **306**:1783-1786.
- Tarknishvili, D.N. and Gokhelashvili, R.K. 1999. *The Amphibians of the Caucasus*. Advances in Amphibian Research in the Former Soviet Union, 4. Pensoft, Sofia, Moscow, Russia.
- Thorn, R. 1968. *Les Salamandres d'Europe, d'Asia, et d'Afrique du Nord*. Éditions Paul Lechevalier, Paris, France.
- Thorn, R. and Raffaelli, J. 2001. *Les Salamandres de L'Ancien Monde*. Société Nouvelle des Editions Boubée, Paris, France.
- Uchiyama, R., Maeda, N., Numata, K. and Seki, S. 2002. *A Photographic Guide: Amphibians and Reptiles in Japan*. Heibonsha, Tokyo, Japan.
- Weisrock, D.W., Papenfuss, T.J., Macey, J.R., Litvinchuk, S.N., Polumeni, R., Uğurtaş, I.H., Zhao, E., Jowkar, H. and Larson, A. 2006. A molecular assessment of phylogenetic relationships and lineage accumulation rates within the family Salamandridae (Amphibia, Caudata). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **18**:434-448.
- Werner, Y.L. 1988. Herpetofaunal survey of Israel (1950-85), with comments on Sinai and Jordan and on zoogeographical heterogeneity. In: Y. Yom-Tov and E. Tchernov, (eds.), *Zoogeography of Israel*, pp. 355-388. Monographiae Biologicae 62, W. Junk, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Xie, F., Lau, M.W.N., Stuart, S.N., Chanson, J., Cox, N.A. and Fischman, D.L. 2007. Conservation needs of amphibians in China: A review. *Science in China, Series C, Life Sciences* **50**:265-276.
- Xie, Y. and Wang, S. 2004. *China Species Red List, Vol. 1 Red List*, Higher Education Press, Beijing, China.
- Ye, C.-Y., Fei, L. and Hu, S.Q. 1993. *Rare and Economic Amphibians of China*. Sichuan Publishing House of Science and Technology, Chengdu, China.
- Zhao, E.M. 1998. *China Red Data Book of Endangered Animals - Amphibia and Reptilia*. Science Press, Beijing, China.
- Zhao, E.M. 1999. Distribution patterns of amphibians in temperate East Asia. In: Duellman, W.E. (ed.), *Patterns of Distribution of Amphibians: A Global Perspective*, pp. 421-443, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.
- Zhao, E.M. and Adler, K. 1993. *Herpetology of China*. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Lawrence, Kansas, USA.
- Zhao, E.M., Chang, H.W., Zhao, H. and Adler, K. 2000. Revised checklist of Chinese Amphibia and Reptilia. *Sichuan Journal of Zoology* **19**:196-207.

Endnotes

- 1 P<0.001 (binomial test)
- 2 Frost *et al.* (2006) transfer many of the species to other genera.
- 3 Frost *et al.* (2006) transfer many of these species to other genera.
- 4 Frost *et al.* (2006) transfer many of these species to other genera.
- 5 Under Frost *et al.*'s (2006) arrangement, the Palearctic species in the genera *Chaparana*, *Euphylyctis*, *Fejervarya*, *Hoplobatrachus*, *Limnonectes*, *Nanorana*, *Ocicidzyga*, *Paa*, and *Sphaerothera* are transferred to the predominantly Indomalayan family Dicroglossidae. Most of these species are in China, but others occur further west in western Asian and even north-western Africa. Some other Palearctic "ranids" are transferred to other families under Frost *et al.*'s proposed classification: one species to the Afrotropical family Pyxicephalidae (*Tomopterna cryptotis*), four species in the genus *Ingerana* to the Oceanian and Indomalayan Ceratobatrachidae; and two species in the genus *Ptychadena* to the Afrotropical Ptychadenidae. Even with these changes, the Ranidae have just over 100 species in the Palearctic, and remain easily the largest family in the region.